Assurance of Student Learning 2019-2020					
College of Health and Human Services Department of Public Health					
Bachelor of Science in Public Health, 521					
Grace Lartey					

Use this page to	list learning outcomes, measurements, and summarize results for your program. Detailed information must be completed in the	e subsequent p	pages.				
Student Lear	rning Outcome 1: Students will address the basic concepts of public health-specific communication.						
Instrument 1	Direct: Health communication video/audio						
Instrument 2	Direct: Flyers developed by students for their PH 485 program						
Instrument 3	Direct: PH 484 – letters to legislator						
Based on your r	Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 1. Met Not Met						
Student Lear	rning Outcome 2: Students will address the fundamental concepts and features of project implementati	on, includi	ng planning				
and assessme	ent.						
Instrument 1	Instrument 1 Direct: PH 485 paper						
Instrument 2	Direct: PH 485 presentation						
Instrument 3	Direct: PH 484 project (Community Organization)						
Based on your r	results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 2.	Met	Not Met				
Student Lear	rning Outcome 3: Students will collaborate with public health professionals to apply skills.						
Instrument 1	Direct: Internship portfolio						
Instrument 2	Indirect: Preceptor evaluation						
Instrument 3 Direct: Student internship presentation or reflection							
Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 3. Met Not Met							
Program Summary (Briefly summarize the action and follow up items from your detailed responses on subsequent pages.)							
	provided information on program's strengths and areas for improvement. While the majority of the program targets were met, cha						
areas to help meet the targets. Additional instructional measures will be implemented during the 2020/21 academic to help with these targets. Related to SLO 1, measurement							
instrument 2, the flyer development section of the project will be individual-based versus group-based during the 2020/21 academic year. This approach may help delineate							
	ents may have. Related to SLO 3, measurement instrument 3, the initial PowerPoint presentation will be added to the midterm por	tfolio to help s	students stay on				
track. The outco	omes will be assessed again at the end of the 2020/21 academic year.						

Student Learning Outcome 1						
Student Learning Outcome	Students wil	Students will address the basic concepts of public health-specific communication.				
Measurement Instrument 1	PH 485 – Meth	ods of Community Health Education Assignmen	nt			
		Direct Measure: Students will develop a video/audio (public service announcement) on a current health issue for a target audience to increase awareness about the issue and call for action.				
Criteria for Student Success	Video/audio wi	Il demonstrate the seriousness of the issue and the r	need to take action. 80% of st	rudents will score 70 or higher on the project.		
Program Success Target for this		80% of students will score 80 or higher on the project.	Percent of Program Achieving Target	91% of students (10 out of 11) scored 80% or higher on the assignment.		
Methods	Eleven students were enrolled in the course for Fall 2019. Students were given 5 weeks to design a communication video/audio on Youth Suicide Prevention. One student did not submit the assignment by the due date. The remaining 10 students scored 80% or higher on the assignment – 5 scored full points and the remainder scored between 87% and 93%. The course instructor will submit assignment grades yearly.					
Measurement Instrument 2	Direct Measur Learning Outco	e: Students will design flyers for their PH 485 pm me 1.	ogram as part of their pro	gram design. The program met the Student		
Criteria for Student Success	Students will de	esign flyers (as part of their project) to help promote gher for their proposed program.	e their program to reduce sui-	cide among the youth. 80% of students will		
Program Success Target for this		80% of students will score 80% or higher for their proposed program.	Percent of Program Achieving Target	73% of students scored 80% or higher on the project which included the flyer; 18% scored 76%, 9% scored 72%.		
Methods	All eleven students enrolled in the course were selected for the assessment. Students' scores, for the assignment provided by the instructor, were used for the assessment. Out of the 11 students, 8 scored 80% or higher on the assignment, which included the flyer. Two students out of the 11 scored 76% or higher; one student scored 72% on the project which included the flyer. The course instructor will submit assignment grades annually.					
Measurement Instrument 3	PH 484 - Community Organization Assignment Direct Measure: Students will write letters to legislator(s) to advocate support for a health-related policy as part of the course project.					
Criteria for Student Success						
Program Success Target for this		90% of students will score 80% or higher for their proposed program.	Percent of Program Achieving Target	85% of students scored 80 or higher on the project.		
Methods	All students enr	olled in the class were selected for the assessment.	Students' scores on the proje	ect presentation, provided by the instructor,		

7	were used for the assessment. Seven out of 8 students enrolled in the course scored 90% or higher on the class project. The course instructor will submit grades annually.			
Based on your results, highlight w	hether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 1.	Met	Not Met	

Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.)

The use of technology was incorporated into the course content of PH 485 during the 2019/20 academic year. The creation of the flyer was part of the group project requiring the submission of one flyer by each group. Each group member was responsible to their section of the project, including the flyer. Any mishap on one individual's part affects the group's score.

Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.)

With the program success target in measurement instrument 2 not fully met, the flyer development section of the project will be individual-based during the 2020/21 academic year. While the success target in measurement instrument 3 was not fully met, it was only one student out of 8 who did not achieve the goal. This assessment will be reassessed in 2021 before making any revisions.

Next Assessment Cycle Plan (Please describe your assessment plan timetable for this outcome)

This outcome will be assessed at the end of the 2020/21 academic year. Artifacts to be used in measuring the outcomes are the Public Service Announcement, flyers from the Methods of Community Health Project (both from the PH 485 course) and the letters to the legislators assignment from the PH 484 course. Instructors for these courses will collect and provide the data on the projects.

	Student Learning Outcome 2					
Student Learning Outcome	Students will a	Students will address the fundamental concepts and features of project implementation, including planning and assessment.				
Measurement Instrument 1		PH 485 - Methods of Community Health Education Project Direct measure: Students will design a health education/promotion program to address a health issue among a target audience.				
Criteria for Student Success		stify the need for their proposed program and outli lans. 80% of students will score 80% or higher on		on strategies, implementation		
Program Success Target for this Measurement 80% of students will score 80% or higher for their proposed program. Percent of Program Achieving Target their proposed program.			73% of students scored 80% or higher on the project; 18% scored 76%, 9% scored 72%.			
Methods	were used for the	nts enrolled in the course were selected for the asset assessment. Out of the 11 students, 8 scored 80% 76% or higher; one student scored 72% on the process annually.	6 or higher on the assignment, which included	the flyer. Two students out		
Measurement Instrument 2	PH 485 - Methods of Community Health Education Project Presentation Direct Measure: using PowerPoint slides, students will present their proposed project addressing a health issue for a target population. The presentation will justify the need for the program.					
Criteria for Student Success	Students will justify the need for their proposed program and outline their goal, objectives, scope and intervention strategies, implementation and evaluation plans in their presentation. 80% of students will score 90% or higher on the project.					
Program Success Target for this		80% of students will score 80% or higher on the program presentation.	Percent of Program Achieving Target	100% of students scored 90% or higher on the		

				PowerPoint pre	sentation.
Methods	All eleven stude	All eleven students enrolled in the course were selected for the assessment. Students' scores, for the assignment provided by the instructor,			
	were used for the	ne assessment. Out of the 11 students, 8 scored 809	% or higher on the assignment. Two students	out of the 11 scor	red 76% or
	higher; one stud	dent scored 72% on the project which included the	flyer. The course instructor will submit assign	nment grades ann	ıually.
Measurement Instrument 3	PH 484 - Comr	nunity Organization Project			
	Direct Measure	: Student will identify a health-related bill in the K	entucky legislature and provide a complete an	nd detailed overv	iew of the
	bill regarding th	neir support or concerns.			
Criteria for Student Success	Students will identify a health-related bill in Kentucky and indicate their personal perspective including support or opposition to the bill.				
	80% of students	s will score 90% or higher on the project.			
Program Success Target for this	Measurement	80% of students will score 90% or higher on	Percent of Program Achieving Target	100% of studen	its scored
		the project.		90% or higher of	on the
				project.	
Methods	Students will id	lentify a health-related bill in Kentucky and indicat	e their personal perspective including suppor	t or opposition to	the bill. All
	7 students score	ed 80% or higher on the project.			
Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 2. Met Not Met				Not Met	
Not wet					
Actions (Describe the decision-ma	aking process and	actions planned for program improvement. The a	ctions should include a timeline.)		
THE C. 1.1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.					

The use of technology was incorporated into the course content of PH 485 during the 2019/20 academic year. Project was reviewed in sections with deadlines for feedback for improvement.

Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.)

Group leaders will be tasked to provide more timely updates on project progress. Besides, instructor will review project in sections and provide feedback.

Next Assessment Cycle Plan (Please describe your assessment plan timetable for this outcome)

This outcome will be assessed at the end of the 2020/21 academic year. Artifacts to be used in measuring the outcomes are the Methods Community Health Education Project, PowerPoint presentation on the Methods of Community Health Project (both from the PH 485 course) and the Kentcky health-related bill review assignment from the PH 484 course. Instructors for the courses will collect and provide the data on the project.

Student Learning Outcome 3				
Student Learning Outcome	Students will collaborate with public health professionals to apply skills.			
Measurement Instrument 1	PH 490 – Internship			
	Direct Measure: Students will demonstrate collaboration with other health professionals to promote the health of communities through their			
	internship activities.			
Criteria for Student Success	Students will outline their activities and link them to the internship competencies including personnel they collaborated with. 80% of			
students will earn grade B or higher on their portfolio. See portfolio grading rubric. Supporting Artifacts - Internship Grading Rubric				
Program Success Target for this	Measurement 80% of students will earn at least grade B on Percent of Program Achieving Target Twelve out of the thirteen			

		their internship portfolio.		students collab public health p for their interns experience. On suspended the during to a fam emergency. Te (83.3%) scored on their portfol	rofessionals ship e student experience iily n students I B or higher io.
Methods	provided by the scored B or high	ted a mid-term and final portfolio detailing their in instructor, served as data for the assessment. One ter on their internship portfolio. Course instructor	student suspended the internship experience.		
Measurement Instrument 2	Indirect Measure internship exper			, ,	
Criteria for Student Success	Preceptor's asse preceptor evalua		experience. 80% of students will be rated a n	minimum of 4 or	their
Program Success Target for this	Measurement	80% of students should be rated a minimum of 4 on their preceptor evaluation form.	Percent of Program Achieving Target	91% of student 12) were rated on their perform	4 or higher
Methods	discharge of the	ate students at the end of the internship experience ir activities. Preceptor evaluations served as the date were rated 4 out of 5 on their performance. International control of the internship experience in activities.	ata for the assessment. Eleven out of the 12 st	her professionals udents enrolled	s and the in the
Measurement Instrument 3		ship Presentation Students will outline their internship experience i	ncluding activities and how they are related t	o the competenc	ies.
Criteria for Student Success	80% of students	should score at least 80% on their internship pres	entation.		
Program Success Target for this		80% of students should score at least 75% on their internship presentation.	Percent of Program Achieving Target	One student die the PowerPoint presentation. 5: 12) of students least 75% on the PowerPoint presentation and the PowerPoint presentation of the PowerPoint	8% (7 out of scored at ne esentation.
Methods	assessment. One PowerPoint pres	s enrolled in the course for the year were selected e student suspended the internship experience. Ele entations. The course instructor will submit prese	ven out of the 12 remaining students scored 7 ntation grades annually.		
Based on your results, circle or h	ighlight whether	the program met the goal Student Learning C	outcome 3.	Met	Not Met
Actions (Describe the decision-ma	king process and	actions for program improvement. The actions sh	nould include a timeline.)	ı	1

The internship was restructured or suspended by most agencies during the spring semester due to the pandemic. These actions may have affected the internship experience and students' work including the requested artifacts.

Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.)

The use of technology was incorporated into the program to help students with their presentations. Initial PowerPoint presentation will be added to the midterm portfolio to help students stay on track.

Next Assessment Cycle Plan (Please describe your assessment plan timetable for this outcome)

This outcome will be assessed at the end of the 2020/21 academic year. PowerPoint presentations submitted at the end of the internship experience will constitute the artifacts for this outcome. The internship instructor will collect and provide the data on the project.

Design a health program for a target population to address a health issue. Provide a justification for the program; develop a program goal with two to three measurable objectives (SMART). Describe what is currently being done in the area. Provide the scope and sequence of strategies/activities to be used and why they seem appropriate. Finally, identify a theory to use to guide program development and specific areas being targeted and outline your implementation and evaluation plans.

PH 485 – Proposed Program Grading Rubric

Criteria	Points	Full Credit	Partial Credit	No Credit
Is there a need for this program?	6	Detailed justification for the proposed health program with good statistics	Partial justification for the proposed health program with minimum statistics	Not addressed
What is your Goal	2	Well-constructed goal (who + what)	Incomplete goal with no direction (missing who or what or both)	Not addressed
SMART Objectives	6	Clearly defined objectives (who + what + when + how much change)	Objectives not meeting the criteria (who + what + when + how much change; not SMART)	Not addressed
What is currently being done in the area to solve the problem? Are there any existing programs?	5	Detailed description of what is currently being done in the area including 3 existing programs	Not enough detail about what is currently being done in the area; less than 3 existing programs	Not addressed
What theory did you use to guide your program?	3	Detailed explanation of Theory used to guide program development and specific areas targeted (attitude, knowledge, skills)	Inadequate explanation on Theory used to guide program development and specific areas targeted (attitude, knowledge, skills)	Not addressed
Describe scope of program including sequence of strategies/activities. Implementation plan and timeline of activities included	15	Detailed description of proposed program including the scope and sequence of strategies/activities to be used and why they seem appropriate. Clear timeline included	Insufficient description of proposed program including the scope and sequence of strategies/activities to be used and why they seem appropriate. Clear timeline included	Not addressed

Who will lead the	5	Detailed staffing plan of human	Staffing plan not detailed	Not addressed
program delivery and		resource and their responsibilities	enough	
how will money be				
allocated?				
What is your evaluation	5	Evaluation plan include process,	Evaluation plan does not	Not addressed
plan?		impact and outcome strategies and	include enough process, impact	
		linked back to stated objectives	ves and outcome strategies. Not	
			linked to stated objectives	
Resources	3	Good use of resources	Resources used not adequate	Not addressed

PH 485 - Video/Audio Grading Rubric

Students will create a video/audio/PSA on a health-related issue for a target population

Criteria	Full Credit	Partial Credit	No Credit
Length of video/audio	Video/audio is 60 seconds or less and flows very well	Video/audio is more than 60 seconds; does not flow well.	Not addressed
Content/Message	Entire message appeals to target audience	Message partially appeals to target audience	Not addressed
Contact information	Provides website, phone number and name of person/agency to call for more information	Provides some contact information but not all three	Not addressed
Graphics/Text	Provides eye-catching graphics related to the issue/topic	Some graphics; not all are related to the issue	Not addressed

PH 485

Proposed Program Presentation Rubric

Criteria	Full Credit	Partial Credit	No Credit
Justification for the proposed health program (1)	Detailed justification for the proposed health program with good statistics	Partial justification for the proposed health program with minimum statistics	Not addressed
Goal (1)	Well-constructed goal (who + what)	Incomplete goal with no direction (missing who or what or both)	Not addressed
SMART Objectives (2)	Clearly defined objectives (who + what + when + how much change)	Objectives not meeting the criteria (who + what + when + how much change; not SMART)	Not addressed
What is currently being done in the area to show that they are knowledgeable about the field including at least 3 existing programs (1)	Detailed description of what is currently being done in the area including 3 existing programs	Not enough detail about what is currently being done in the area; less than 3 existing programs	Not addressed
Theory used to guide program development	Detailed explanation of Theory used to guide program development and specific areas	Inadequate explanation on Theory used to guide program development and specific areas	Not addressed

and specific areas being	targeted (attitude, knowledge,	targeted (attitude, knowledge,	
targeted (1)	skills)	skills)	
Proposed program	Detailed description of proposed	Insufficient description of	Not addressed
including scope and	program including the scope and	proposed program including the	
sequence of	sequence of strategies/activities to	scope and sequence of	
strategies/activities.	be used and why they seem	strategies/activities to be used	
Implementation plan and	appropriate. Clear timeline	and why they seem appropriate.	
timeline of activities	included	Clear timeline included	
included (1)			
Staffing plan and budget	Detailed staffing plan of human	Staffing plan not detailed	Not addressed
(1)	resource and their responsibilities	enough	
Evaluation plan (1)	Evaluation plan include process,	Evaluation plan does not	Not addressed
	impact and outcome strategies and	include enough process, impact	
	linked back to stated objectives	and outcome strategies. Not	
		linked to stated objectives	
Resources and	Good use of resources; presenters	Resources used not adequate;	Not addressed
preparedness (1)	look very prepared	presenters not fully prepared	

GUIDELINES and GRADING CRITERIA

PH 484

Policy Analysis Project

Description of Assignment:

I: Each student must identify a health-related bill in the Kentucky legislature about which they have some interest and/or concern and provide a complete and detailed overview of the bill. In addition, students should answer the following questions: What is your personal and professional (public health) perspective on this bill? Do you favor or oppose the bill? Explain why or why not. What might be some of the opposing viewpoints (to your own) regarding the bill?

II: Identify a legislator (senator or representative) from your district and prepare and mail a letter telling him/her that you think they should not support the bill and why. Documents to be turned in will be: 1) A copy of the bill, 2) A summary of the bill and answers to the above question (including the legislator you chose and why), 3) A letter written to the legislator.

Criteria	Points award ed	Full Credit	Partial Credit	No credit
Bill				
A brief and complete description of your chosen Kentucky health- related bill:	15	Briefly and clearly summarizes the bill.	Summary does not clearly and completely address the details of the bill.	Not addressed
Question 1				
What is your personal and professional (public health) perspective on this bill?	20	Provides brief and clear description of personal and professional perspective of the bill.	Provides minimal insight into the bill.	Not addressed
Question 2				

Do you favor or oppose the bill? Explain why or why not.	20	Addresses in detail the reasons you favor or oppose the bill.	Provided minimal insight into the reasons you favor or oppose the bill.	Not addressed
Question 3				
What might be some of	20	Clearly outlines plausible potential	Opposition presented are not	Not addressed
the opposing viewpoints		opposition to the bill and its	feasible or lack clarity.	
(to your own) regarding		influence on success or failure of the		
the bill, and how might		bill.		
that affect the potential				
success or failure of the bill?				
Legislator & Letter				
Identify a senator or	20	Clearly describes the legislator and	Does not clearly discuss the	Not addressed
representative from a KY			legislator. Letter is vague and	
district, prepare and mail		district represented, committees	lacks direction of support for or	
a letter explaining to			against the bill.	
him/her why you think		professional as to why they should		
they should or should not support the bill.		vote for or against the bill.		
References		Provides reference for the materials being reviewed.	Provides link for the materials reviewed.	No citation or link
Possible	100			
TOTAL				

PH 490 - Internship Grading Rubric

Criteria	Full Credit	Partial Credit	No Credit
Midterm Portfolio:	Detailed description of	Partial description of agency	Not enough
Agency profile including	agency including	including	information on
activities; journal reports (30)	mission/vision/goals of	mission/vision/goals of	agency and
	agency, principal	agency, principal personnel,	internship
	personnel,	services/activities. Partial	activities.
	services/activities. Detailed	weekly and monthly reports	
	weekly and monthly	of activities and time sheets.	
	reports of activities and		
	time sheets.		
Final Portfolio:	Detailed description of	Partial description of	Not enough
Journal reports, performance	internship activities;	internship activities;	information on
report, student evaluation reports	internship tasks linked to	internship tasks not linked	internship
(35)	set objectives.	to set objectives.	activities;
			activities not

			linked to set objectives.
Preceptor Review/Evaluation (30)	Preceptor review shows improvement in student's overall skills at the end of the internship experience.	Preceptor review shows some improvement in student's skills at the end of the internship experience.	Preceptor review shows no improvement in student's skills at the end of the internship experience.
PowerPoint Presentation (5)	Presentation outlines tasks completed and linked to set objectives.	Presentation outlines tasks completed but not linked to set objectives.	Presentation does not outline tasks completed and not linked to set objectives.

PH 490 - Internship Presentation Rubric

Criteria	Full Credit (5)	Partial Credit (3)	No Credit (1)
PowerPoint Presentation	Presentation outlines	Presentation outlines	Not enough
	detailed description of	partial description of	information about
	internship location,	internship location,	internship location,
	tasks completed and	tasks completed and not	tasks completed not
	linked to set objectives.	linked to set objectives.	linked to set
			objectives.