Assurance of Student Learning				
2019-2020				
College of Health and Human Services	Department of Public Health			
B.S. in Environmental and Occupational Health Science (548)				
Edrisa Sanyang, EOHS Undergradaute Program Director				

Use this page to list learning outcomes, measurements, and summarize results for your program. Detailed information must be completed in the						
	subsequent pages.					
Student Learni	ing Outcome 1: Identify and compile relevant information sources to assess an environmental health problem.					
Instrument 1	Direct: Comprehensive lab report.					
Instrument 2	Direct: Internship portfolio.					
Based on your	results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 1.	Met	Not Met			
Student Learn	ing Outcome 2: Analyze environmental health data to interpret and present results in writing.					
Instrument 1	Direct: Comprehensive lab report.					
Instrument 2	Direct: Internship portfolio.					
Based on your	results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 2.	Met	Not Met			
Student Learn	ing Outcome 3: Apply appropriate field methods to collect environmental health data.					
Instrument 1	Direct: Comprehensive lab report.					
Based on your	results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 3.	Met	Not Met			
Program Sumr	nary (Briefly summarize the action and follow up items from your detailed responses on subsequent pages.)					
The program w	as reviewed in 2019/20 academic year mainly to meet EHAC accreditation requirements. CHEM 116, GEOL 111, and E	NV 423 were	added to the			
program as core courses. The following courses were removed to reduce course substitution needed and remove reduntant course – ENV 486, ENV 490, and						
SFTY 270. We also removed from the list of additional required courses those that does not meet EHAC accreditation requirements, and they include PSY 100,						
ENG 307, BIOL 131, and PH 100. We added ENV 475, PH 402, and AMS 310 as potential electives to broaden choices in occupational safety and health. The B.S.						
EOHS program is now (effective Fall 2020) aligned with the EHAC accreditation competencies and standards. EOHS faculty will continue to revist the program						
on annual basis to ensure core course SLOs are aligned with the competencies and EHAC accreditation standards. For SLO 1, there have been improvements						
in the instructions and process for the internship portfolios by developing the internship portfolios and requiring submission through Blackboard. The rubrics						
for SLO 1 and SLO 2will be assessed by a team of three EOHS faculty, using a 5-point scale rather than a 4-point scale, to evaluate the learning outcomes while						
controlling for	inter-rater reliability.					

Student Learning Outcome 1					
Student Learning Outcome	Identify and co	Identify and compile relevant information sources to assess an environmental health problem			
Measurement Instrument 1	Direct measure of student learning: Students in ENV 410 Water Treatment Processes, a senior level course, were required to complete a comprehensive written laboratory report that required them to synthesize their laboratory work from the entire semester. To assess SLO 1 the laboratory report which includes literature review, background, lab result analysis and discussion was evaluated.				
Criteria for Student Success		Students should score between "Proficient" or greater on the Environmental Health Reports Rubric for SLO 1. Scores on the rubric item for this SLO ranged from "Exemplary" (90-100), "Proficient" (Upper 80-89), "Apprentice" (70-79), and "Novice" (60-69).			
Program Success Target for th Measurement	r this 75% Percent of Program Achieving Target 87.5%				
Methods	Direct: Artifacts from the Water Treatment Process course were collected from all students in the course (<i>N</i> = 8). The papers were evaluated according to the Environmental Health Reports Rubric (Appendix 1). Each student paper was scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the rubric. Scores represented the following ranges "Exemplary - 4" (90-100), "Proficient - 3" (Upper 85-90) and (Lower 80-84), "Apprentice - 2" (70-79), and "Novice - 1" (60-69). SLO 1 was assessed based on the lab report learning outcome of "Compile Environmental Health Information". A total of 7 of 8 students scored "Proficient" or greater for SLO 1.				
Measurement Instrument 2	Direct measure of student learning: All students in the Environmental and Occupational Health Science program are required to complete an internship and internship portfolio. The internship portfolio requires that the student collects information about the internship site, objectives, competencies applied, daily and weekly work tasks, methods applied, results, accomplishments, and an evaluation of the internship.				
Criteria for Student Success	Students should score "Proficient" or greater on the Environmental Health Internship Portfolio Rubric for SLO 1. Scores on the rubric item for this SLO ranged from "Exemplary" (90-100), "Proficient" (Upper 80-89), "Apprentice" (70-79), and "Novice" (60-69).				
Program Success Target Measurement					
Methods Based on your results, circle of	Student portfolios (N=7) were evaluated. The evaluation was divided into categories that evaluated a student's communication, assessment, and management competencies. These were competencies that were modified from the CDC, EPA, and EHAC competencies for environmental health practitioners. To assess SLO 1 collection of internship site information and development of an introduction was evaluated. Scores on the rubric item for this SLO ranged from "Exemplary" (90-100) to "Novice" (60-69). A total of 6 of 7 students scored at the level of "Proficient" or "Exemplary". The Environmental Health Internship Portfolio Rubric is attached in Appendix 2.				
Busea on your results, effere of	Not Met				Not Met

Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.)

To provide a more comprehensive evaluation of SLO 1 we will continue to conduct a blind assessment, a method where three EOHS faculty members randomly evaluate competencies and compare findings. The assessment will be done in the 2020/21 academic year. Additionally, the rubrics for SLO 1 will be assessed by a team of three EOHS faculty, using a 5-point scale rather than a 4-point scale, to evaluate the learning outcomes while controlling for inter-rater reliability.

Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.)

Data will be collected in the 2020/21 academic year to continue to assess internship portfolio and determine if we have to increase the target to 85% from 80%. Just to note that we have improved the instructions and process for the internship portfolios by developing the internship portfolios and requiring submission through Blackboard. Use of Blackboard has increased the efficiency of students to complete portfolio details, track daily and weekly tasks, and present results. As a follow up, we will maintain a Blackboard site for student portfolio details and assessments.

Next Assessment Cycle Plan (Please describe your assessment plan timetable for this outcome)

Student Learning Outcome 1 will be reassessed in 2021/2022 academic year. Artifacts on assessing environmental health problems will be sampled annually from ENV 410/411, ENV 474, and ENV 491 for the assessment. This effort will be the Program Director and supported by the Instructors of these courses.

	Student Learning Outcome 2					
Student Learning Outcome	Analyze environmental health data to interpret and present results in writing.					
Measurement Instrument 1	Direct measure of student learning: Students in ENV 410 Water Treatment Processes, a senior level course, were required to complete a comprehensive written laboratory report that required them to synthesize their laboratory work for the entire semester of data collection. The report was broken into five parts to evaluate each program SLO. To assess SLO 2 the analysis of data, interpretation of results, and written discussion were evaluated.					
Criteria for Student Success	Students should score "Proficient" or greater on the Environmental Health Reports Rubric for SLO 2. Scores on the rubric item for this SLO ranged from "Exemplary" (90-100), "Proficient" (Upper 80-89), "Apprentice" (70-79), and "Novice" (60-69).					
Program Success Target for the Measurement	is	75%	Percent of Program Achieving Target	87.5%		
Methods	Direct: Artifacts from the Water Treatment Process course were collected from all students in the course (<i>N</i> = 8). The papers were evaluated according to the Environmental Health Reports Rubric (Appendix 1). Each student paper was scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the rubric. Scores represented the following ranges "Exemplary - 4" (90-100), "Proficient - 3" (Upper 85-90) and (Lower 80-84), "Apprentice - 2" (70-79), and "Novice - 1" (60-69). SLO 2 was assessed based on the lab report learning outcome of "Analyze data, present results, and discuss findings". Results of the assessment indicated that 7 of 8 students scored proficient or greater on SLO 2.					
Measurement Instrument 2	Direct measure of student learning: All students in the Environmental and Occupational Health Science program are required to complete an internship and internship portfolio. The internship portfolio requires that the student collects information about the internship site, objectives, competencies applied, daily and weekly work tasks, methods applied, analyze data and present results, accomplishments, and an evaluation of the internship.					
Criteria for Student Success	Students should score "Proficient" or greater on the Environmental Health Internship Portfolio Rubric for SLO 1. Possible scores on the rubric item for this SLO were "Exemplary" (90-100), "Proficient" (Upper 80-89), "Apprentice" (70-79), and "Novice" (60-69).					
Program Success Target Measurement						
Methods Based on your results, circle or	communication, and management competencies. These were competencies that were modified from the CDC, EPA, and EHAC competencies for environmental health practitioners. To assess SLO 2 "Analyze data, present results, and discuss findings" was evaluated for each studuent. Portfolios were scored on the rubric item for this SLO ranging from "Exemplary" (90-100) to "Novice" (60-69). A total of 6 of 7 students scored at the level of "Proficient" or "Exemplary". The Environmental Health Internship Portfolio Rubric is attached in Appendix 2.				A, and scuss cemplary" onmental	
	Not Met Not Met					

Actions

To provide a more comprehensive evaluation of SLO 2, we will continue to conduct a blind assessment, a method where three EOHS faculty members randomly evaluate competencies and compare findings. The assessment will be done in the 2020/21 academic year. Additionally, the rubrics for SLO 2 will be assessed by a team of three EOHS faculty, using a 5-point scale rather than a 4-point scale, to evaluate the learning outcomes while controlling for inter-rater reliability.

Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.)

Data will be collected in the 2020/21 academic year to continue to assess the laboratory learning activities and written paper prompt to determine if we have to increase the target to 80% from 75%.

Next Assessment Cycle Plan (Please describe your assessment plan timetable for this outcome)

Student Learning Outcome 2 will be reassessed in 2021/2022 academic year. Artifacts on analyzing environmental health data will be sampled annually from ENV 410/411, ENV 474, and ENV 491 for the assessment. This effort will be led by the Program Director and supported by the Instructors of these courses.

Student Learning Outcome 3					
Student Learning Outcome	Apply appropriate field methods to collect environmental health data.				
Measurement Instrument 1	Direct measure of student learning: Students in ENV 410 Water Treatment Processes, a senior level course, were required to complete a comprehensive written laboratory report that required them to synthesize their laboratory work from the entire semester. To assess SLO 3 the "Apply methods to assess the environmental health problem or issue" learning outcome was evaluated.				
Criteria for Student Success	Students should score "Proficient" or greater on the Environmental Health Reports Rubric for SLO 3. Possible scores on the rubric item for this SLO ranged from "Exemplary" (90-100), "Proficient" (Upper 80-89), "Apprentice" (70-79), and "Novice" (60-69).				
Program Success Target for the	Program Success Target for this Measurement 75% Percent of Program Achieving Target 87				87.5%
Methods	Direct: Artifacts from the Water Treatment Process course were collected from all students in the course (<i>N</i> = 8). The papers were evaluated according to the Environmental Health Reports Rubric (Appendix 1). Each student paper was scored from 1 to 4 on each of the SLOs in the rubric. Scores represented the following ranges "Exemplary - 4" (90-100), "Proficient - 3" (Upper 85-90) and (Lower 80-84), "Apprentice - 2" (70-79), and "Novice - 1" (60-69). SLO 2 was assessed based on the lab report learning outcome of Apply methods to assess the environmental health problem or issue". Results of the assessment indicated that 7 of 8 students scored proficient or greater on SLO 3.				
Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 3. Met Not Met				Not Met	

Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.)

To provide a more comprehensive evaluation of SLO 2 we will continue to conduct a blind assessment, a method where three EOHS faculty members randomly evaluate competencies and compare findings. The assessment will be done in the 2020/21 academic year. Additionally, the rubrics for SLO 2 will be assessed by a team of three EOHS faculty, using a 5-point scale rather than a 4-point scale, to evaluate the learning outcomes while controlling for inter-rater reliability.

Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.)

Data will be collected in the 2020/21 academic year to continue to assess the prompts to collecting environmental health data independently and develop a written

paper to determine if we have to increase the target to 87.5% from 75%.

Next Assessment Cycle Plan (Please describe your assessment plan timetable for this outcome)

Student Learning Outcome 3 will be reassessed in 2021/2022 academic year. Artifacts on collecting environmental health data will be sampled annually from ENV 410/411, ENV 474, and ENV 475 for the assessment. This effort will be led by the Program Director and supported by the Instructors of these courses.

APPENDIX 1: Environmental Health Reports Rubric

Learning	Exemplary - 4	Proficient - 3	Apprentice - 2	Novice - 1
Outcomes				
Compile	Information was collected from	Information was collected from	Information was collected from	Information was collected from
environmental	relevant sources in a manner	relevant sources in a manner	relevant sources with some	relevant sources with no
health	that provided interpretation of	that provided synthesis of the	interpretation, but a synthesis of	interpretation or synthesis of the
information	the environmental health issue,	environmental health issue,	the environmental health issue,	environmental health issue,
	problem, or methods applied.	problem, or methods applied.	problem, or methods applied	problem, or methods applied
			was not provided.	was not provided.
Explanation of	Environmental health issue or	Environmental health issue or	Environmental health issue or	Environmental health issue or
the	problem was comprehensively	problem was clearly stated and	problem was clearly stated but	problem was not clearly stated
environmental	stated and explained.	explained.	not explained.	or explained.
health problem				
Apply methods	Field and laboratory methods	Field and laboratory methods	Field and laboratory methods	Field and laboratory methods
to assess the	were applied correctly in a	were applied correctly in a	were applied correctly, yet not in	were not applied correctly, and
environmental	manner that provided a	manner that provided an	manner that provided an	did not provide an analysis of
health problem or	comprehensive analysis of the	analysis of the problem.	analysis of the problem.	the problem.
issue	problem.			
Analyze data,	Data analysis was correct and	Data analysis was correct and	Data analysis had errors and a	Data analysis had errors and a
present results,	presented through a series of	presented through a graph or	table or graph was presented,	table or graph was not presented
and discuss the	graphs and tables that were	table that that was explained in	yet it was not explained in the	nor explained the report.
findings	explained in the report.	the report.	text of the report.	
Develop	Conclusions and	Conclusions and	Conclusions and	A Conclusion was presented,
conclusions and	recommendations were	recommendations were	recommendations were	with not recommendations, and
recommendations	developed that provided a	discussed that provided a	presented, but did not provide a	it did not include a solution to
of the assessment	comprehensive solution to the	solution to the environmental	solution to the environmental	the environmental health
	environmental health problem.	health problem.	health problem.	problem.

APPENDIX 2: Environmental Health Internship Portfolio Rubric

Learning	Exemplary - 4	Proficient - 3	Apprentice - 2	Novice - 1
Outcomes				
Compile	Internship information was	Internship information was	Internship site information was	Internship site information was
internship	compiled by the student,	compiled by the student,	compiled by the student,	compiled by the student with
information	including weekly reports, and	including weekly reports, and	including weekly reports, with	errors and omissions. The
and develop	was complete. The introduction	was complete. The introduction	some information missing. The	introduction was limited and
an internship	explained the work site,	described the work site,	introduction discussed some	mentioned work site and a few
introduction.	acquisition of the internship, and	acquisition of the internship, and	aspects of the work site,	job duties of the internship.
	the job duties of the internship.	the job duties of the internship.	acquisition of the internship, and	
			the job duties of the internship.	
Explain the	Objectives of the internship were			
internship	thoroughly explained by the	explained by the student. The	somewhat discussed by the	listed by the student. The
objectives.	student. The student's	student's explanation showed	student. The student's	student's explanation showed no
	explanation showed a direct link	connection to program	explanation showed some	connection to program
	to program competencies of	competencies of communication,	connection to program	competencies of communication,
	communication, assessment, and	assessment, and management.	competencies of communication,	assessment, and management.
	management.	· ·	assessment, and management.	
Apply	Environmental health methods	Environmental health methods	Environmental health methods	Environmental health methods
environment	were applied correctly in a	were applied correctly in a	were applied correctly, yet not in	were not applied correctly, and
al health	manner that provided a	manner that provided an analysis	a manner that provided an	did not provide an analysis of the
methods to	comprehensive analysis a	of a problem presented in the	analysis of the problem presented	problem presented in the
assess a	problem presented in the	internship.	in the internship.	internship.
problem	internship.	•	•	
presented in	•			
the				
internship.				
Analyze data	Results were presented accurately	Results were presented accurately	Results were presented with	Results were limited with errors
and present	and were discussed in the	and somewhat discussed in the	errors and some discussion in the	and limited discussion in the
results of the	internship report and	internship report and	internship report and	internship report and
internship in	presentation. The analysis was			
writing	comprehensive and produced	produced results that may be	not used to solve a problem and	not sufficient to solve a problem
	results that solved a problem	used to address a problem	was more of an exercise.	and was not shown in the
	presented in the internship.	presented in the internship.	Presentation was incomplete.	presentation.
Develop an	The evaluation provided a	The evaluation provided an	The evaluation provided a	The evaluation provided a
evaluation of	comprehensive explanation of the	explanation of the importance of	discussion of the importance of	limited discussion of the
	importance of the internship, the	the internship, the competencies	the internship. A few	importance of the internship.

the	competencies practiced, changes	practiced, a mention of changes	competencies practiced were	Competencies practiced were not
internship	the student would implement at	the student would implement at	discussed, as well as a limited	discussed. The relation of the
	the site, and relation of the	the site, and relation of the	discussion of the relation of the	internship to the student's
	internship to the student's	internship to the student's	internship to the student's	professional development was
	professional development.	professional development.	professional development.	mentioned in a sentence or two.