

**Assurance of Student Learning
2018-2019**

College of Health and Human Services

School of Kinesiology, Recreation & Sport

Recreation Administration #589

Use this page to list learning outcomes, measurements, and summarize results for your program. Detailed information must be completed in the subsequent pages.

Student Learning Outcome 1: Global: Students shall demonstrate the following entry-level knowledge: techniques and processes used by professionals and workers in these industries. (*Council on Accreditation - Parks, Recreation, Tourism and Related Professions*). Course specific: Apply various leadership techniques to enhance individual, group, and community experiences.

Instrument 1 | Direct: Community Leadership Project – REC 302

Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 1.

Met

Not Met

Student Learning Outcome 2: Global: Students shall be able to demonstrate the ability to design, implement, and evaluate services that facilitate targeted human experiences and that embrace personal and cultural dimensions of diversity. (*Council on Accreditation - Parks, Recreation, Tourism and Related Professions*). Course specific: Identify and utilize program planning/design processes.

Instrument 1 | Direct: Program Plan Project – REC 306

Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 2.

Met

Not Met

Student Learning Outcome 3: Global: Students shall be able to demonstrate entry-level knowledge about operations and strategic management / administration in parks, recreation, tourism and/or related professions. (*Council on Accreditation - Parks, Recreation, Tourism and Related Professions*). Course specific: Utilize basic management skills applicable to leisure service settings as they relate to the analysis of programs, services, and resources and participation requirements.

Instrument 1 | Direct: Park and Recreation Agency Manual Project – REC 406

Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 3.

Met

Not Met

Program Summary (Briefly summarize the action and follow up items from your detailed responses on subsequent pages.)

WKU Recreation Administration is accredited by The Council on Accreditation of Parks, Recreation, Tourism, and Related Professions. Continuously accredited since our first accreditation in 1980, and reviewed every seven years, the accreditation is valid until the next review in fall 2022. As part of the program’s national accreditation requirement, we annually report, and publicly post, data related to the three student learning outcomes listed in this document. Program faculty discuss the assessment process and recommend adjustments on an ongoing basis. Our Feb. 25, 2019 assessment meeting was an opportunity to revisit these three student learning outcomes and our required courses in which they reside. This review was particularly important as we have two new faculty (last Feb. one was in her first year, one was in his second year) teaching courses which house these particular outcomes. In regards to these three outcomes, program faculty concluded the outcomes and respective measures were appropriate and aligned with national standards. The results (over time) indicated the program is successful in meeting the outcomes. No changes were recommended at the time for these student learning outcomes, but all agreed of the need to regular revisit our assessment process. At this time, we have not been asking program faculty to report the specific number of students included in the overall percentage, but rather simply asked for the percent achieving target. We will ask for this data point moving forward.

Student Learning Outcome 1

Student Learning Outcome	Global: Students shall demonstrate the following entry-level knowledge: techniques and processes used by professionals and workers in these industries. (<i>Council on Accreditation - Parks, Recreation, Tourism and Related Professions</i>). Course specific: Apply various leadership techniques to enhance individual, group, and community experiences.		
Measurement Instrument 1	<p>Direct measure: The measurement instrument for this SLO is the Community Leadership Project from REC 302 Recreation Leadership. This multiple component project requires student application of content throughout the semester. Here are the primary elements included in the evaluation of the students.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Initial site visit and interview with staff (individual) • Program Plan initial draft • Revised program plan • Final program plan • Implementation (group) • Implementation (individual) • Peer and Self Evaluation (individual) 		
Criteria for Student Success	Upon completion of this course project, students should score an overall score 70% or above based upon the evaluation criteria noted above.		
Program Success Target for this Measurement	80% of the student completing assignment will score 70% or higher on the assignment	Percent of Program Achieving Target	93.5% scored 70% or higher
Methods	All students enrolled in REC 302 during fall 2018 (N=33) and spring 2019 (N=27) were included in the population. All students who completed the measurement instrument were included in the analysis. At this time, we have not asked program faculty to report the specific number of students included in the overall percentage, but rather simply asked for the percent achieving target. We will ask for this data point moving forward.		
Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 1.		Met	Not Met
Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.)			
No specific needs for change were identified for improvement to this student learning outcome. The outcome remains in place as written for 2019-20. Student data from Fall 2019 will be discussed by program faculty at the beginning of Spring 2020 to monitor progress and provide adjustments if/as needed.			
Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.)			
As noted earlier, program faculty monitor and revise assessment and methods continuously. While we can't point to a specific change we identified and acted upon, the 2018-19 SLO results showed an improvement from the 2017-18 results. Fall increased from 71.43 to 87.5% and Spring increased from 90.32 to 93.5%.			

Student Learning Outcome 2

Student Learning Outcome	Global: Students shall be able to demonstrate the ability to design, implement, and evaluate services that facilitate targeted human experiences and that embrace personal and cultural dimensions of diversity. (<i>Council on Accreditation - Parks, Recreation, Tourism and Related Professions</i>). Course specific: Identify and utilize program planning/design processes.		
Measurement Instrument 1	<p>Direct measure: The measurement instrument for this SLO is the Program Plan Project from REC 306 Experience Planning and Evaluation. This multiple component project requires student application of content throughout the semester. Here are the primary elements included in the evaluation of the students.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meeting with the program coordinator to find out their needs for the program and the evaluation. • Designing and creating educational and implementation materials. • Implementation of the program. • Creating an evaluation tool (questionnaire, series of interview or focus group questions, etc.). • Creating an evaluation plan (methods) that works well within the parameters of the event/program series. • Administering the evaluation tool to program participants and/or staff. • Analyzing evaluation data. • Creating three different types of evaluation reports (formal, brief, and oral). • Evaluating your own effort and that of your group members. 		
Criteria for Student Success	Upon completion of this course project, students should score an overall score 70% or above based upon the evaluation criteria noted above.		
Program Success Target for this Measurement	70% of students completing assignment will score 70% or higher on the assignment	Percent of Program Achieving Target	93% scored 70% or higher
Methods	All students enrolled in REC 306 during fall 2018 (N=32) and spring 2019 (N=29) were included in the population. All students who completed the measurement instrument were included in the analysis. At this time, we have not asked program faculty to report the specific number of students included in the overall percentage, but rather simply asked for the percent achieving target. We will ask for this data point moving forward.		
Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 2.			Met
Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.)			
No specific needs for change were identified for improvement to this student learning outcome. The outcome remains in place as written for 2019-20. Student data from Fall 2019 will be discussed by program faculty at the beginning of Spring 2020 to monitor progress and provide adjustments if/as needed.			
Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.)			
As noted earlier, program faculty monitor and revise assessment and methods continuously. The fall and spring 2018-19 SLO results were almost the same from the overall 2017-18 results; simply flip-flopped the semesters. Fall 17 = 94.73% Fall 18 = 100% Spring 18 = 100% Spring 19 = 93%.			

Student Learning Outcome 3

Student Learning Outcome	Global: Students shall be able to demonstrate entry-level knowledge about operations and strategic management / administration in parks, recreation, tourism and/or related professions. (<i>Council on Accreditation - Parks, Recreation, Tourism and Related Professions</i>). Course specific: Utilize basic management skills applicable to leisure service settings as they relate to the analysis of programs, services, and resources and participation requirements.		
Measurement Instrument 1	Direct measure: The measurement instrument for this SLO is the Agency Manual Project from REC 406 Recreation Administration. This multiple component project requires student application of content throughout the semester. Here are the primary elements included in the evaluation of the students. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Competency Assessment (beginning of course) • Human Resources • Liability and Negligence • Marketing • Competency Assessment (end of course) 		
Criteria for Student Success	Upon completion of this course project, students should score an overall score 70% or above based upon the evaluation criteria noted above.		
Program Success Target for this Measurement	70% of students completing assignment will score 70% or higher on the assignment	Percent of Program Achieving Target	86.5% scored 70% or higher
Methods	All students enrolled in REC 406 during fall 2018 (36) were included in the population. All students who completed the measurement instrument were included in the analysis. At this time, we have not asked program faculty to report the specific number of students included in the overall percentage, but rather simply asked for the percent achieving target. We will ask for this data point moving forward.		
Based on your results, circle or highlight whether the program met the goal Student Learning Outcome 3.			Met
Actions (Describe the decision-making process and actions planned for program improvement. The actions should include a timeline.)			
No specific needs for change were identified for improvement to this student learning outcome. The outcome remains in place as written for 2019-20. Student data from Fall 2019 will be discussed by program faculty at the beginning of Spring 2020 to monitor progress and provide adjustments if/as needed.			
Follow-Up (Provide your timeline for follow-up. If follow-up has occurred, describe how the actions above have resulted in program improvement.)			
As noted earlier, program faculty monitor and revise assessment and methods continuously. The fall and spring 2018-19 SLO results were almost the same from the overall 2017-18 results. Fall 86.67 vs 86.5%.			

The measurement instrument for [this SLO] is the Program Plan Project from REC 306 Experience Planning and Evaluation. This multiple component project requires student application of content throughout the semester. Here are the primary elements included in the evaluation of the students and how these elements are evaluated:

Project element:	Evaluation:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meeting with the program coordinator to find out their needs for the program and the evaluation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Attendance in class when this occurs or during field visit to the site. (Altogether, attendance accounts for 10% of the students' grade.) Creation of a group contract establishing ground rules and expectation between students for the program and evaluation project. (25 points)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Designing and creating educational and implementation materials. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Collaboratively creating program goal and objectives. Detailing specific activities that will allow them to achieve their goal and measure their objectives. (50 points) Systematically inventorying assets and needs to implement program and evaluation. (25 points)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Implementation of the program. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meet with instructor for "rehearsal." (30 points) Attendance, engagement in implementation of the program. (100 points).
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Creating an evaluation tool (questionnaire, series of interview or focus group questions, etc.). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Create an evaluation instrument and plan. (50 points).
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Creating an evaluation plan (methods) that works well within the parameters of the event/program series. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The evaluation of this item is combine with the above item, <i>creating an evaluation tool</i>.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Administering the evaluation tool to program participants and/or staff. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The evaluation of this item is combined with the above item, <i>implementation of the program</i>.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Analyzing evaluation data. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The evaluation of this item is combined with the below item, <i>creating evaluation reports</i>.

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Creating three two different types of evaluation reports (formal, brief, and oral).	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Groups summarize their planning process through an oral presentation. (50 points)• Evaluation results (evidence of analysis is present in the description of the evaluation gathering and interpretation process) presented in a written report. (50 points)
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Evaluating your own effort and that of your group members.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Optional peer review forms are posted for students that elect to provide feedback on group members to the instructor.• Group members efforts are evaluated in an integrated way throughout the planning and implementation process per the <i>group contract</i> (see first item).

Parker Bennet Community Leadership Group Project Overview

Syllabus Information

COMMUNITY LEADERSHIP (75 points). Out-of-class leadership will consist of facilitating a one-hour special event for youth at the Parker Bennett Community Center (Bowling Green Parks & Recreation). Working in groups, students will plan and lead activities for youth. Criteria for evaluation will be based on information, techniques and approaches covered in class. A leadership plan (on Blackboard) will be **due 2 weeks in advance** of the event. Adjusted plans will then be presented to the Parker Bennett contact for feedback and recommendations. A final updated leadership plan must be submitted to your professor prior to the event. All group members must visit Parker Bennett Community Center at least two weeks prior to the special event. **Summative evaluations are due one week after the event.** Assessment will be based on the visit, planning, implementation, peer and professor evaluation, and your reflection. It is expected that all students will actively participate in the planning and implementation of the event. More information to follow in class.

Being an effective leader of Recreation services requires understanding the group you are working with, creating goals and objectives that are appropriate for your group, making connections with those you are working with, and guiding the group to a successful conclusion.

You and your classmates will be divided into four evenly-sized groups and will plan, implement and evaluate a fun 1-hour program for elementary school children at the Parker Bennett Community Center's after school program. Each person in class is responsible for leading at least one activity for the children. The group is responsible for selecting appropriate activities and putting them into an appropriate sequence to keep the interest of the children.

You will be evaluated as a group and/or individually on the following items:

1. Initial site visit and interview with staff (individual) – due 2 weeks prior to program, requires signature (2 pts – pass/fail)
2. Program Plan initial draft (group - due to professor 2 weeks prior to program) – (5 pts pass/fail)
3. Revised program plan (group - due to Parker Bennett staff at least 1 week prior to program, requires signature) (2 pts pass/fail)
4. Final program plan (group - due to professor prior to start of program) – (6 pts)
5. Implementation (group – overall flow of day, sequence of activities, transitions between activities, maintain attention of participants, appropriate behavior management techniques, communication and adaptation between group members) (30 pts)
6. Implementation (individual – preparation of equipment, supplies, knowledge of activity, vocal quality including volume, speed, inflection, work choice – clear instructions, maintaining focus and attention of group, safety of participants in activity, monitoring the group, adapting activities as necessary, ending activity well with a plan for the next activity) (10 pts)
7. Peer and Self Evaluation (individual – reflect on overall process and individual contributions to the project) (20 pts – Self and peer evaluation)

Specific deadlines, information about Parker Bennett Community Center and Staff contacts, and all forms are available on BB.