**WKU Review Committee Report for APR** (05/22/25)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Program Name:** | **Departmental/College Home:** |
|  |  |
| **WKU Program Reference Number:** | **CIP Code:** |
|  |  |
| **Program Coordinator:** | **Department Head:** |
|  |  |
| **Years Under Review (i.e., FY 2016-20)** | **Report Submission Date:** |
|  |  |
| **Review Chair**  | **Committee Members and Affiliations** |
|  |  |

**After completing the APR Self-Study Rubric in Portfolio, please provide narrative responses for each section in the spaces below (typically 3-10 pages).**

*WKU is particularly interested in the following topics when considering the review committee report:*

* *Efforts to enhance student recruitment, retention, curriculum, and overall climate, consistent with the expectations set in the self-study guidelines.*
* *Assessment of student learning and use of annual assessment reports to improve the learning experience.*
* *For graduate students/programs, a) appropriate levels of financial support, and b) professional development and/or post-graduation planning.*

**A. Review Context**

|  |
| --- |
| A summary of the activities of the external reviewers and materials reviewed including:1. Documentation of interactions among external reviewers and students, faculty, staff, and other stakeholders. This can include meeting summaries, surveys, correspondence, etc. Please also provide information about how the review committee afforded opportunities for stakeholders to offer feedback.
2. If materials were referenced to supplement the conclusions drawn in the report beyond those provided in the self-study, please provide a list of additional links/resources.
3. Responses to any additional questions/issues the dean(s) may have asked the program and committee to consider either verbally or in writing.
 |
|  |

**B. Strengths and Areas for Improvement**

|  |
| --- |
| An evaluation of the strengths and areas for improvement of the program.  |
|  |

**C. Advice and Recommendations**

|  |
| --- |
| Advice to the program, faculty, dean, and/or Provost on how to improve, as well as recommendations for future directions.  |
|  |

**D. Conclusion:**

|  |
| --- |
| Include specifications for program continuation and/or follow-up action. This should include details about what that action should be, with a recommended deadline. |
|  |